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#### Abstract

A small library of integrin ligand-paclitaxel conjugates $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 3}$ was synthesized with the aim of using the tumor-homing cyclo[DKP-RGD] peptidomimetics for site-directed delivery of the cytotoxic drug. All the paclitaxel-RGD constructs $10-13$ inhibited biotinylated vitronectin binding to the purified $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ integrin receptor at low nanomolar concentration and showed in vitro cytotoxic activity against a panel of human tumor cell lines similar to that of paclitaxel. Among the cell lines, the cisplatin-resistant IGROV-1/Pt1 cells expressed high levels of integrin $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$, making them attractive to be tested in in vivo models. cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 displayed sufficient stability in physiological solution and in both human and murine plasma to be a good candidate for in vivo testing. In tumor-targeting experiments against the  IGROV-1/Pt1 human ovarian carcinoma xenotransplanted in nude mice, compound 11 exhibited a superior activity compared with paclitaxel, despite the lower (about half) molar dosage used.


## INTRODUCTION

Chemotherapy has been one of the main approaches for the treatment of cancer for more than half a century and is based on the administration of drugs that often interfere with fundamental cellular functions (e.g., DNA replication, cell division). The antitumor efficacy of anticancer drugs is thus limited by their nonspecific toxicity to normal cells, especially to rapidly growing cells such as blood, bone marrow, and mucous membrane cells, resulting in a low therapeutic index and serious side effects. The efficacy of chemotherapy is further limited by the occurrence or development of drug resistance: tumor cells can be regarded as a rapidly changing target because of their genetic instability, heterogeneity, and high rate of mutation, leading to selection and overgrowth of a drugresistant tumor cell population. ${ }^{1}$ In principle, the efficiency of the treatment can be improved by increasing the doses, but this approach commonly results in severe toxicity. Therefore, selective tumor targeting of chemotherapeutic agents represents a major goal, and various drug delivery systems have been recently developed, ${ }^{2}$ including the use of liposomes, microspheres, micelles, polymers, protein-drug or antibody-drug conjugates, and prodrugs. ${ }^{3}$ Considerable efforts are currently
being made in this domain to such an extent that leaders of major pharmaceutical companies foresee that $>60 \%$ of all existing drugs will be targeted in less than 2 decades. ${ }^{4}$ In this field, an attractive avenue for selective tumor targeting are hybrid molecules designed to bind to specific overexpressed receptors on cancer cells. ${ }^{5}$ Clearly, the success of this approach is heavily dependent on the rational selection of appropriate biological objectives.

Integrins are ideal pharmacological targets based on their key role in angiogenesis and tumor development and on their easy accessibility as cell surface receptors interacting with extracellular ligands. ${ }^{6}$ They are bidirectional glycoprotein heterodimeric receptors that connect cells to the scaffolding proteins of the extracellular matrix, occurring in at least 24 pairs of $18 \alpha$ and $8 \beta$ subunits and containing large extracellular domains and short cytoplasmic domains. ${ }^{7}$ Integrins are also involved in tissue integrity and cell trafficking, growth, differentiation, proliferation, and migration. ${ }^{8}$ As a consequence of their role in so many fundamental processes, integrin malfunction is connected
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Figure 1. Potent $\alpha_{\mathrm{V}} \beta_{3}$ integrin ligands.
to a large variety of diseases such as thrombosis, osteoporosis, inflammation, and cancer. ${ }^{9}$ The tripeptide sequence arginine-glycine-aspartate (RGD) has been identified as the common motif used by several endogenous ligands to recognize and bind a group of integrins, including $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}, \alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{5}, \alpha_{5} \beta_{1}$, which are crucial in angiogenesis, tumor progression, and metastasis, and $\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \beta_{3}$, which is involved in platelet aggregation. ${ }^{10}$

A potent $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ integrin ligand, cyclo[Arg-Gly-Asp-D-Phe-$N(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{Val}]$ (cilengitide) developed by Kessler and co-workers (Figure 1), ${ }^{11,12}$ is currently in phase III clinical trials as an angiogenesis inhibitor for patients with glioblastoma multiforme. ${ }^{13}$ The high activity and selectivity of this derivative have been attributed to an extended conformation of the RGD motif displaying a distance of about $9 \AA$ between the $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ atoms of Asp and Arg. ${ }^{12,14}$ These observations prompted many other research groups to investigate the use of conformationally constrained cyclic RGD peptidomimetics as active and selective integrin antagonists. A selection of these ligands, encompassing a wide variety of rigid scaffolds and featuring 13-, 14-, 15 - and 16 -membered rings, is shown in Figure 1. ${ }^{18}$
We have recently contributed to this field with a new class of cyclic RGD-peptidomimetics, containing bifunctional diketopiperazine (DKP) scaffolds and featuring 17 -membered rings (Figure 2). ${ }^{16}$ The cis-derivative cyclo[DKP-1-RGD] (1)


Figure 2. Library of cyclo[DKP-RGD] integrin ligands. ${ }^{a} N, N^{\prime}$-Dibenzyl cyclo[DKP-7-RGD] was isolated as two different separable conformers (diastereomers, 7a and 7b) due to hindered rotation of one ring around the other, i.e., the DKP N-benzyl group cannot pass inside the macrolactam ring; see ref 16 b .
inhibited biotinylated vitronectin binding to the purified $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ receptor at a micromolar concentration ( $3.9 \pm 0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), while trans-derivatives $2-7$ ranged from submicromolar to subnanomolar concentrations ( $220-0.2 \mathrm{nM}$ ).

It is now emerging that antiangiogenic therapy alone is not sufficient to fight and eradicate tumors: recent preclinical findings of a paradoxical proangiogenic activity of RGD-
mimetic agents (like cilengitide) at low concentrations have stimulated the debate on the use of antiangiogenetics as single drugs. ${ }^{17}$ Since $\alpha_{V}$ integrins, which can be internalized by cells, are involved in tumor angiogenesis and are overexpressed on the surface of cancer cells, integrin ligands can be usefully employed as tumor-homing peptidomimetics for site-directed delivery of cytotoxic drugs. ${ }^{18}$ During the past 15 years, a number of RGD-cytotoxic drug conjugates have been developed. In these approaches, a few cyclic RGD integrin ligands (e.g., RGD4C, ${ }^{19}$ cyclo[(NMe)VRGDf-NH] ${ }^{20}$ cyclo[RGDfK], ${ }^{21,22}$ cyclo[CRGDC], ${ }^{22}$ cyclo[RGDf-Aad], ${ }^{23 a}$ cyclo [RGDf-Amp] ${ }^{23 a}$ ) were conjugated to a cytotoxic drug (e.g., doxorubicin, ${ }^{19,21}$ doxsaliform, ${ }^{20}$ camptothecin ${ }^{23 a, b}$ cisplatin ${ }^{22}$ 2, ${ }^{20,23 a}$ through different linkers, such as amides, ${ }^{19,22,23 a}$ oximes, ${ }^{20,23 a}$ maleimides, ${ }^{21}$ carbamates, ${ }^{23 b}$ and idrazones. ${ }^{23 a}$ Notably, Chen and co-workers prepared the RGD ligand-paclitaxel conjugate 8 (Figure 3), which was covalently assembled by joining the


Figure 3. Dimeric RGD ligand-paclitaxel conjugates.
microtubule-stabilizing anticancer agent to the dimeric RGD peptide $\mathrm{E}[\text { cyclo(RGDyK) }]_{2}$ via a cleavable succinyl ester linker, and evaluated its antitumor activity on the metastatic breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-435. ${ }^{24}$ In mice, conjugate 8 showed a moderately improved antitumor effect over paclitaxel, but no tumor regression could be observed. The stability of the succinyl linker was not assessed and a premature release of paclitaxel can be suspected.

A very similar conjugate (i.e., compound 9 reported in Figure 3) was extensively evaluated in a recent study by Ryppa and coworkers on an ovarian carcinoma xenograft model (OVCAR3). ${ }^{25}$ Although the construct provided promising results in vitro, unfortunately it did not show any antitumor effect in vivo. The stability of conjugate 9 in a glucose phosphate buffer
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Figure 4. Structures of cyclo[DKP-RGD]-paclitaxel conjugates 10-13.
solution at pH 7 was studied over 24 h , yielding a half-life of only $\sim 2 \mathrm{~h}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Half-life in the bloodstream is expected to be much shorter, and the inefficacy of this conjugate was attributed to hydrolysis of the ester bond at the $2^{\prime}$ position of paclitaxel, which causes premature release of the cytotoxic agent and loss of the tumor-homing effect.

Herein, we present a full account of our investigations reporting (i) the synthesis of new cyclo[DKP-RGD] integrin ligands, bearing a free amine group suitable for conjugation to a cytotoxic drug, (ii) the conjugation of these ligands to paclitaxel via a succinyl linker to give cyclo[DKP-RGD]-paclitaxel conjugates 10-13 (Figure 4), (iii) the stability of a cyclo[DKP-RGD]-paclitaxel construct in a physiological solution and in both human and murine plasma, which turned out to be far better than the case reported above, ${ }^{25}$ (iv) the ability of the cyclo[DKP-RGD]-paclitaxel conjugates to compete with biotinylated vitronectin for binding to the purified $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{5}$ receptors, (v) in vitro cytotoxic activity of the cyclo[DKP-RGD]-paclitaxel conjugates in a panel of human cancer cell lines, (vi) in vivo tumor-targeting efficacy against the IGROV-1/Pt1 human ovarian carcinoma xenotransplanted in nude mice, (vii) the effects of tumor treatment, analyzed using immunohistochemistry.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis. In order to prepare cyclic RGD peptidomimetics covalently linked to paclitaxel (compounds 10-13, Figure 4), four functionalized $(f)$ trans diketopiperazines (i.e., DKP-f2, DKP-f3, DKP-f4, DKP-f6) were synthesized, varying the position of the $p$-aminomethylbenzyl $N$-substituent ( $\mathrm{N}-1$ or $\mathrm{N}-4$ ) and the absolute stereochemistry at $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{C}-6$
(Schemes 1-3). These DKPs were used for the synthesis of cyclo[DKP-RGD] integrin ligands (Scheme 4), which were conjugated to 2'-succinyl paclitaxel (Scheme 5).

## Scheme 1. Synthesis of Aldehyde $14^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, 8 h , reflux, $70 \%$; (b) Mtr$\mathrm{Cl}, i-\mathrm{Pr} 2 \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{THF}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$, room temp, $85 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}$, overnight, room temp, quant

For the preparation of the functionalized trans diketopiperazines DKP-f2, DKP-f3, DKP-f4, and DKP-f6, we selected a linker bearing both an aldehyde (for successive reductive alkylation) and an amino group (for the final conjugation to paclitaxel). Thus, linker 14 was synthesized in three steps from 4-aminomethylbenzoic acid via $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction, primary amine protection as 4-methoxy-2,3,6-trimethylbenzenesulfonamide (Mtr), and a benzylic alcohol oxidation using activated $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ (Scheme 1). The Mtr protecting group was chosen because of its stability and orthogonality with the methyl, benzyl, allyl, $t$-Bu, Boc, and Cbz protecting groups.

Trans scaffolds DKP-f2, DKP-f3 (Scheme 2) and DKP-f4, DKP-f6 (Scheme 3) were synthesized starting from commercially available ( $R$ )- or ( $S$ )-aspartic acid and ( $R$ )- or ( $S$ )-serine. Two different synthetic strategies were developed depending on the nitrogen substitution. In particular, the synthesis of DKP-f 2 and DKP-f3 (bearing the linker on DKP nitrogen N-4, former serine nitrogen) was realized making use of a serine

## Scheme 2. Synthesis of DKP-f2 and DKP-f3 ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) allyl alcohol, $\mathrm{AcCl}^{2}$ (b) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, TEA, dioxane, water, $95 \%$ over two steps; (c) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{AcCl}$, quant; (d) aldehyde 14, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$, THF, 3 h , room temp, quant; (e) HATU, HOAT, $i$ - $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{DMF}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temp, $86 \%$; (f) TFA/DCM $1: 2,3 \mathrm{~h}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temp; (g) $i$-Pr $2 \mathrm{NEt}, i-\mathrm{PrOH}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$, room temp, $93 \%$ over two steps; (h) $\mathrm{HN}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Tol}, \mathrm{DIAD}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{Tol} 1: 2,7 \mathrm{~h},-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 86 \%$; (i) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{P}$,
 of six experiments, including different reaction batches with the two enantiomeric products.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of DKP-f4 and DKP-f6 ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{AcCl}$, quant; (b) aldehyde 14, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3}(\mathrm{CN}), \mathrm{MeOH}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, room temp, $66 \%$; (c) Boc ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$, TEA, dioxane-water, $95 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{HN}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Tol}$, DIAD, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, THF, $7 \mathrm{~h},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 78 \%$; (e) LiOH, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ THF $1: 1,1 \mathrm{~h}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quant; (f) DCC, DCM, 1 h , room temp, quant; (g) DCM, overnight, room temp, $40 \%$; (h) TFA, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}$, DCM, 3 h, room temp, quant; (i) $i$ - $\mathrm{Pr} 2 \mathrm{NEt}, i-\mathrm{PrOH}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$, room temp, $92 \%$; (j) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, THF, 4 h , room temp, quant; (k) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, $\mathrm{DCM}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$, room temp, $96 \%$. Yields reported are the average of six experiments, including different reaction batches with the two enantiomeric products.
ligation strategy, ${ }^{26}$ as described in Scheme 2. (R)- and (S)Aspartic acid were initially protected as allyl ester on the side chain and as $N$-Boc to give the enantiomeric derivatives $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $(R)-16 .(R)$ - and ( $S$ )-Serine were protected as methyl ester and reductively alkylated with aldehyde 14 and sodium triacetoxyborohydride to afford the enantiomeric compounds $(R)-17$ and (S)-18. Direct coupling (HATU, $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ) of protected aspartic acid $(S)-15$ with functionalized serine $(R)$ 17 , or of the enantiomers $(R)-16$ with $(S)-18$, led to the isopeptides $(S, R)$-19 and ( $R, S$ )-20 in high yield (86\%) rather than forming the expected dipeptides. The $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$-acyl migra-
tion $^{26}$ was then triggered by cleavage of the Boc protecting group and treatment with a base ( $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ) in a protic solvent ( $i$-PrOH), which also promoted the simultaneous cyclization to the trans diketopiperazines 21 and 22 ( $93 \%$ overall yield). The hydroxyl group of 21 and 22 was converted into azides 23 and 24 via a Mitsunobu reaction in good yield (86\%), using $\mathrm{HN}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Tol}$ in a toluene/dichloromethane solution. Finally, a onepot Staudinger reduction-Boc protection followed by allyl deprotection yielded the trans scaffolds DKP-f2 (27, $3 R, 6 S$ ) and DKP-f3 (28, $3 S, 6 R$ ) in $88 \%$ yield. This synthetic route involves a high overall yield (60\%) and only a few

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Functionalized cyclo[DKP-RGD] Integrin Ligands 63-66 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) HATU, HOAT, $i$ - $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}$ NEt, DMF, overnight, room temp, $83-85 \%$; (b) TFA/DCM 1:2, 3 h , room temp, quant; (c) Cbz-Asp(O-t-Bu)-OH, HATU, HOAT, $i$-Pr $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, DMF, overnight, room temp, $86-88 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1$, overnight, room temp, quant; (e) HATU, HOAT, $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, 1.4 \mathrm{mM}$ in DMF, overnight, room temp, 60-81\%; (f) TFA/TMSBr/thioanisol/EDT/phenol 70:14:10:5:1, 2 h , room temp, 70-85\%.
chromatographic purifications, which allows easy preparation on a multigram scale.

For the synthesis of trans scaffolds DKP-f4 and DKP-f6 (Scheme 3), (R)- and (S)-aspartic acid were protected as dimethyl ester and reductively alkylated with aldehyde 14 to obtain the enantiomeric derivatives $(S)-29$ and $(R)-30$. The hydroxyl group of ( $R$ )- or ( $S$ )-Boc-Ser-OMe was first transformed into the corresponding azide under Mitsunobu conditions in $78 \%$ yield, and then the methyl ester was saponified. The resulting enantiomeric acids $(R)-31$ and $(S)-32$, stable only for a few hours, were immediately self-condensed with DCC in DCM to give the symmetric anhydrides $(R, R)-33$ and $(S, S)-34$, which were isolated by filtering off the $N, N^{\prime}$ dicyclohexylurea (DCU) and immediately reacted with the functionalized aspartic acid dimethyl ester ( $S$ )-29 or ( $R$ )-30 to obtain the enantiomeric dipeptides $(S, R)-35$ and $(R, S)-36$ in moderate yield ( $40 \%$ ). Yield optimization was pursued by extensively varying the reaction conditions (equivalents, solvents, temperature, time), but all the attempts were not successful and markedly differed from the analogous reaction run on $N$-benzylaspartic acid dimethyl ester (i.e., 29 or 30 missing the Mtr-NH-CH2- side chain) where the yield was uniformly higher ( $80 \%$ ). ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}$ All other coupling reagents tested (HATU, PyBrOP, DPPA, etc.) were ineffective for this reaction. Although no coupling product of the dehydroalanine derivative was ever detected, the $\beta$-elimination possibly caused by excess $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ in the HATU, PyBrOP, and DPPA tentative couplings might be an additional reason for this failure, combined with the poor reactivity of the sterically hindered secondary amine of the aspartic derivative.

After Boc deprotection, the six-membered cyclization occurred spontaneously with 4 equiv of $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ in $i$ - PrOH to give diketopiperazines ( $3 R, 6 S$ )-37 and ( $3 S, 6 R$ )-38 in $92 \%$ yield. Trans scaffolds DKP-f4 (41, 3R,6S) and DKP-ff (42, $3 S, 6 R$ ) were finally obtained by catalytic hydrogenation of the azide, Boc protection of the primary amine, and hydrolysis of the methyl ester ( $96 \%$ overall yield).

Trans diketopiperazines DKP-f2, DKP-f3, DKP-f4, and DKP-f6 were used as scaffolds for the synthesis of functionalized cyclo[DKP-RGD] integrin ligands 63-66, following a solution-phase strategy (Scheme 4). Dipeptide Boc-Arg(Mtr)-Gly-OBn, prepared on a multigram scale following our reported procedure, ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}$ was Boc-deprotected and coupled to the chosen diketopiperazine scaffold to give compounds 43-46 in good yields ( $83-85 \%$ ). The Boc protecting group of compounds 43-46 was then removed, and the resulting free amines 47-50 were coupled to Cbz-Asp $(\mathrm{O}-t-\mathrm{Bu})-\mathrm{OH}$ to obtain the linear Cbz-Asp(O-t-Bu)-DKP-Arg(Mtr)-Gly-OBn peptidomimetics $\mathbf{5 1 - 5 4}$ in high yields ( $86-88 \%$ ). After carboxybenzyl and benzyl groups simultaneous deprotection by catalytic hydrogenolysis to give 55-58 quantitatively, the synthesis of protected cyclo(DKP-RGD) $\mathbf{5 9 - 6 2}$ was accomplished in good yield ( $60-81 \%$ ) by 17 -membered macrolactamization in a highly diluted DMF solution ( 1.4 mM ) utilizing HATU, HAOT, and $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt} \mathrm{(4:4:6} \mathrm{equiv)}$. nontrivial removal of the side chain protecting groups.

The $\mathrm{O}-t-\mathrm{Bu}$ and the Mtr on the arginine were easily deprotected, while the Mtr on the benzylic amine was very stable. Several cleavage cocktails were screened and the more classic ones ${ }^{27}$ ["reagent K" (TFA/phenol/water/TIPS, 88/5/

## Scheme 5. Synthesis of cyclo[DKP-RGD]-PTX Conjugates 10-13 ${ }^{\text {a }}$



${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) succinic anhydride, py, DCM, overnight, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temp, $94 \%$; (b) N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide sodium salt, DIC, DMF, overnight, room temp; (c) cyclo(DKP-RGD) 63, 64, 65, or 66, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, aq phosphate buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 7.3,10 \mathrm{~h}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 8 h at room temp, 60-70\%.



Figure 5. Solubility and stability of cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 in a physiological solution. Shown is the quantitative HPLC determination of solubility and stability of compound 11 in a physiological solution ( $0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ )/Cremophor EL/ethanol ( $90: 5: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). A 1.92 mM clear solution of 11 turned out to be oversaturated and slowly flocculated to reach a concentration of 1.28 mM in 2 days (left diagram). The 1.28 mM solution did not undergo any precipitation or decomposition over 7 days (right diagram).

5/2), "reagent R" (TFA/thioanisole/EDT/anisole, 90/5/3/2), and "reagent $\mathrm{P}+$ " (TFA/phenol/methanesulfonic acid, $95 / 2.5 /$ 2.5)] failed, giving the monoprotected compound as the main product (the Mtr on the amine was still present), with a low yield (5-20\%) of the desired totally deprotected product, even after 48 h . Finally, with the use of TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole/ EDT/phenol (70/14/10/5/1) cleavage cocktail at room temperature for 2 h , fully deprotected compounds 63-66 were obtained in $70-85 \%$ isolated yield.

Thus, we were ready to conjugate paclitaxel to our ligands. The 2'-hydroxyl function of paclitaxel was derivatized with succinic anhydride, following a reported procedure. ${ }^{28}$ The resulting paclitaxel hemisuccinate ester $\mathbf{6 7}{ }^{28}$ was activated using diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC) and $N$-hydroxysulfosuccinimide sodium salt (sulfo-NHS), followed by coupling with cyclo[DKPRGD] ligands 63-66 (Scheme 5).

The conjugation yield was strongly pH -dependent: at pH $<7.0$ the reaction did not proceed, whereas at $\mathrm{pH}>7.5$ the hydrolysis of the sulfo-NHS ester substantially competed with the primary amine reaction. The synthesis of conjugates $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 3}$ was finally achieved in good yield $(60-70 \%)$ by adding a 0.1 M
aqueous NaOH solution when required throughout the reaction, for maintaining the pH at 7.3.

Solubility and Stability in a Physiological Solution. The solubility of conjugate cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 was investigated in a physiological solution $\left(0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ / Cremophor EL/ethanol ( $90: 5: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) by quantitative HPLC. A 1.92 mM clear solution turned out to be oversaturated and slowly flocculated to reach a concentration of 1.28 mM in 2 days (Figure 5, left diagram). The precipitate was the conjugate $\mathbf{1 1}$ itself, with a purity of $>99.5 \%$. Compound $11(1.28 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, dissolved in 0.1 mL of Cremophor EL/ethanol ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and diluted with 0.9 mL of physiological solution, was perfectly stable for 1 week with a purity of $>99.5 \%$. The 1.28 mM solution did not undergo any precipitation or decomposition (Figure 5, right diagram).

Plasma Stability Assays. Paclitaxel conjugate 11 (1.28 $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ was dissolved in DMSO $(128 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and then diluted with pH 7.5 phosphate buffer (PBS) to give a $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution. Murine plasma was spiked with the stock solution to obtain a final $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At time points varying from 1 to 330 min , aliquots of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were


Figure 6. Stability of cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 in murine and human plasma. Shown is the quantitative HPLC determination of stability of compound $11(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in murine plasma (left diagram) and in human plasma (right diagram) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 1. Inhibition of Biotinylated Vitronectin Binding to $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ Receptors

| compd | structure | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ | $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{5}$ |
| 10 | cyclo[DKP-f2-RGD]-PTX ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $8.5 \pm 0.8$ | $518 \pm 10$ |
| 11 | cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $5.2 \pm 2.3$ | $219 \pm 124$ |
| 12 | cyclo[DKP-f4-RGD]-PTX ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.9 \pm 0.6$ | $76 \pm 32$ |
| 13 | cyclo[DKP-f6-RGD]-PTX ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1.1 \pm 0.1$ | $22 \pm 3$ |
| 64 | cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD] ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $26.4 \pm 3.7$ | $>5 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 68 | cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-hemisuccinamide ${ }^{d}$ | $4.1 \pm 0.6$ | $75 \pm 1$ |
| 2 | cyclo[DKP-2-RGD] ${ }^{e}$ | $3.2 \pm 2.7$ | $114 \pm 99$ |
| 3 | cyclo[DKP-3-RGD] ${ }^{e}$ | $4.5 \pm 1.1$ | $149 \pm 25$ |
| 4 | cyclo[DKP-4-RGD] ${ }^{e}$ | $7.6 \pm 4.3$ | $216 \pm 5$ |
| 6 | cyclo[DKP-6-RGD] ${ }^{e}$ | $2.1 \pm 0.6$ | $79 \pm 3$ |
| cyclo $[\mathrm{RGDfV}]^{f}$ | cyclo[RGDfV] | $3.2 \pm 1.3$ | $7.5 \pm 4.8$ |
| ST1646 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | ST1646 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $1.0 \pm 0.5$ | $1.4 \pm 0.8$ |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated as the concentration of compound required for $50 \%$ inhibition of biotinylated vitronectin binding as estimated by GraphPad Prism software. All values are the arithmetic mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate determinations. ${ }^{b}$ See Figure 4 . ${ }^{c}$ See Scheme $4 .{ }^{d}$ Compound 68 (see Figure 7) was synthesized as described in the Supporting Information. ${ }^{e}$ See Figure $2 .{ }^{{ }^{f}}$ Reference compound. ${ }^{g}$ See Figure 1.
taken and quenched with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ice-cold acetonitrile (containing verapamil as internal standard; see the Experimental Section for details). Samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 min , and the supernatant was analyzed by RPHPLC, UV-MS/MS. The data were fitted using a signal phase exponential decay and the calculated half-life was $165 \pm 2 \mathrm{~min}$ (Figure 6, left diagram). The same procedure was adopted for a pooled human plasma stability assay, and in this case the calculated half-life was $143 \pm 3 \mathrm{~min}$ (Figure 6, right diagram). Free paclitaxel accumulated during the assays as a result of hydrolysis of the succinyl ester bond at the PTX-2' position. These results were very encouraging and showed that cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 is sufficiently stable to undergo animal testing with murine models. In fact, similar RGD ligands showed significant (maximum) tumor uptakes in mice after $10,,^{29} 20,{ }^{30} 30,{ }^{31}$ and $60 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{32}$

Summarizing, we have investigated the stability of compound 11 to hydrolysis both in a physiological solution and in murine and human plasma. As a matter of fact, cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]PTX 11 turned out to be far more stable than PTX$\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{cyclo} \text { (RGDfK) }]_{2} 9^{25}$ (see Figure 3 and the relevant discussion in the Introduction). The rather high stability of $\mathbf{1 1}$ can possibly be attributed to a more lipophilic structure, where the ester linkage is less accessible in the protic medium than in Ryppa's compound 9.

Integrin Receptors Competitive Binding Assays. cyclo[DKP-RGD]-PTX conjugates $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 3}$ were examined in
vitro for their ability to inhibit biotinylated vitronectin binding to the purified $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ receptors and compared to their unfunctionalized analogues $2,3,4$, and 6 to the unconjugated ligands 64 and 68 and to the reference compounds cyclo $[\mathrm{RGDfV}]^{33}$ and ST1646. ${ }^{34}$ The results are collected in Table 1. Screening assays were performed incubating the immobilized integrin receptors with various concentrations $\left(10^{-12}-10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ of the RGD ligands in the presence of biotinylated vitronectin ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and measuring the concentration of bound vitronectin in the presence of the competitive ligands. Low nanomolar values were obtained with all the paclitaxel-RGD constructs (10-13), comparable to the unfunctionalized ligands ( $\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}$, and $\mathbf{6}$ ). These data reassured us that the enormous increase of steric hindrance in the cyclo[DKP-RGD]-PTX conjugates, due to presence of the linker bearing paclitaxel through the succinate tether, did not influence the high affinity for integrin receptors $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{5}$. Notably, for inhibition of vitronectin binding to the $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ receptor, unconjugated ligand 64 required a 5 -fold higher concentration than both its unfunctionalized and conjugated analogues (compounds 3 and 11, respectively). This reduced affinity may result from perturbation of the electrostatic clamp (i.e., the binding interactions of the carboxylate and guanidinium groups with the charged regions of the receptor) ${ }^{14}$ induced by the free amine present in 64.

Derivatization of the amine with succinic anhydride gave the hemisuccinamide 68 and restored the high binding affinity for
the $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ receptor. Interestingly, unlike reference compounds cyclo(RGDfV) and ST1646, the cyclo[DKP-RGD] peptidomimetics were about 20- to 200 -fold more selective for the $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ integrin with respect to the $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{5}$ in this kind of assay.

$68=$ cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-hemisuccinamide
Figure 7. $c y c l o[D K P-f 3-R G D]$-hemisuccinamide.
Sensitivity of Tumor Cell Lines Treated with cyclo[DKP-RGD]-PTX Conjugates 10-13. cyclo[DKP-RGD]-PTX conjugates $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 3}$ were tested in vitro for their cytotoxic activity in comparison with paclitaxel, against a panel of human tumor cell lines. The cell sensitivity assays (Table 2) clearly indicated that the functionalized cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD] integrin ligand 64 was not cytotoxic, while the cyclo[DKP-RGD]-PTX conjugates displayed a cytotoxic activity similar to that of paclitaxel (same order of magnitude). These data imply that free paclitaxel is released at some stage, possibly after the conjugates have been internalized into the cells, because it is well-known that the free $2^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}$ group is necessary for paclitaxel to exert its cytotoxic and microtubule-stabilizing activities. ${ }^{35}$ Compounds 10-13, 64, and paclitaxel were also tested in vitro on normal HDFC fibroblasts. When cells started to proliferate and were exposed to different concentrations of these compounds (range of concentrations tested, $64-1000 \mathrm{nM}$ ), a marginal inhibition of cell growth was observed. The effect was not concentration-dependent, suggesting that the compounds were not cytotoxic but were at best cytostatic in these cells. The data reported in Table 2 did not identify undoubtedly a lead compound for evaluation of antitumor activity with in vivo models. Therefore, we chose cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 as our lead conjugate mainly because of its straightforward synthetic accessibility on a multigram scale.

Flow cytometry was used to detect the expression of $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{5}$ integrins on the surface of the different cancer cell
lines (Table 3). Among these, the cisplatin-resistant IGROV-1/ Pt1 cells expressed very high levels of integrin $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$, making them attractive to be tested in murine models with cyclo[DKP-RGD]-PTX construct 11 (vide infra the in vivo experiments).

By comparison of the data presented in Tables 2 and 3, it is quite clear that there is no correlation between the phenotypic integrin expression levels and efficacy of cyclo[DKP-RGD]-PTX conjugates in in vitro assays. The cell sensitivity studies were carried out to determine whether paclitaxel was released from the conjugate. In these in vitro assays, no tumor homing effect can be expected and therefore the different response can be attributed only to a higher or lower sensitivity of the different cell lines to the particular compound tested, independent of the integrin receptor expression. On the other hand, the evaluation of integrin expression was important for the choice of the best in vivo model for efficacy studies (i.e., the choice of cisplatinresistant IGROV-1/Pt1, a cell line where the expression of integrin $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ is particularly relevant).

Evaluation of in Vivo Antitumor Activity. Antitumor activity of our lead conjugate cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11, delivered intravenously (iv) and administered every 4 days for 4 times ( $\mathrm{q} 4 \mathrm{~d} \times 4$ ), was examined on the $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$-rich IGROV- $1 / \mathrm{Pt} 1$ carcinoma grown in athymic mice as subcutaneous (sc) tumor. A significant, dose-related antitumor effect was observed following administration of two dose levels of compound 11 ( 15 and $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Moreover, when compound $11(30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, i.e., $19.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) was compared to paclitaxel ( $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, i.e., $35.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) administered with the same weight dosage and schedule, it displayed better effects in terms of tumor volume inhibition (TVI, $85 \%$ vs $76 \%$ ), despite the lower (about half) molar dosage used (Figure 8). Furthermore, 2 out of 8 tumors in animals receiving conjugate 11 disappeared without any evidence of disease until the end of the experiment. Thus, an improved and more persistent effect against the growth of treated tumors was achieved, as indicated also by the higher $\log _{10}$ (cell kill) value (LCK of 1.4 vs 0.7 , Table 4). Treatment was well tolerated, as no deaths or significant weight losses were observed among the treated animals. ${ }^{36}$

Immunohistochemistry Analysis of Treatment Effects. To investigate the mechanism underlying the improved antitumor activity of cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 over paclitaxel, histopathological analysis was carried out in tumors from untreated mice and from mice treated with cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11, compound 64, and paclitaxel (Figure 9). The comparison between paclitaxel and cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 was carried out administering $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for both compounds, amounts that correspond to $35.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ for paclitaxel and to $19.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ for cyclo [DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11. Histological analysis indicated the presence of a high

Table 2. Cell Sensitivity of Different Tumor Cell Lines to Compounds 10-13 and 64 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| compd | structure | IGROV-1 | IGROV-1/Pt1 | U2-OS | SKOV3 | PANC-1 | MIA-PaCa2 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | cyclo[DKP-f2-RGD]-PTX | $17.7 \pm 6.0$ | $18.7 \pm 6.0$ | $2.2 \pm 0.5$ | $1.6 \pm 1.0$ | $5.8 \pm 4.0$ | $2.0 \pm 0.7$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX | $61.3 \pm 19.1$ | $4.9 \pm 2.0$ | $12.8 \pm 0.1$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | $2.4 \pm 0.8$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | cyclo[DKP-f4-RGD]-PTX | $34.4 \pm 29.0$ | $3.7 \pm 2.0$ | $6.8 \pm 4.6$ | $2.4 \pm 0.9$ | $3.2 \pm 0.7$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | cyclo[DKP-f6-RGD]-PTX | $48.2 \pm 2.2$ | $2.4 \pm 1.9$ | $5.7 \pm 4.4$ | $2.4 \pm 1.1$ | $3.5 \pm 0.1$ | $2.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD] | $>1200$ | $>18000$ | $>6300$ | $>11600$ | $>11600$ | $>11600$ |
| PTX | paclitaxel | $23.0 \pm 0.8$ | $2.2 \pm 0.8$ | $3.4 \pm 0.4$ | $2.7 \pm 1.1$ | $5.2 \pm 1.9$ | $7.2 \pm 3.8$ |

[^1]Table 3. Integrin Expression of Tumor Cell Lines of Different Tumor Types ${ }^{a}$

|  | mean fluorescence intensity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| integrin | IGROV-1 | IGROV-1/Pt1 | U2-OS | SKOV3 | PANC-1 | MIA-PaCa2 |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ | $4.8 \pm 1.9$ | $23.3 \pm 5.0$ | $1.8 \pm 0.6$ | $6.4 \pm 0.05$ | $7.9 \pm 2.8$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{5}$ | $3.4 \pm 0.9$ | $3.3 \pm 0.5$ | $27.4 \pm 0.1$ | $4.4 \pm 0.5$ | $25.7 \pm 6.5$ | $5.6 \pm 0.9$ |

${ }^{a}$ Integrin expression levels were examined by immunofluorescence using a flow cytometer. The ratios between the mean fluorescence intensity of cells incubated with primary antibody and isotypic control are shown.


Figure 8. In vivo antitumor activity studies of cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]. PTX 11 compared to paclitaxel on IGROV-1/Pt1 ovarian carcinoma. Shown is the efficacy of compound 11 (CPD11) and paclitaxel (PTX) administered intravenously every fourth day for four times on the ovarian carcinoma IGROV-1/Pt1 xenografted subcutaneously in athymic nude mice. The solvent was injected for the control group (O). Each point represents the mean tumor volume from eight tumors. Bars represent SD: $*, P<0.05$ by Student's $t$ test on tumor volumes over control mice.
number of mitotic cells in the group treated with cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11, compared to the other groups (Figure 9). In addition, the majority of the mitoses observed in the groups treated with either cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 or paclitaxel were aberrant, an observation consistent with the mechanism of action of spindle poisons. ${ }^{37}$ High levels of aberrant mitoses were observed with cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11, already 24 h after the second treatment, and persisted after the fourth treatment. On the contrary, the amount of aberrant mitotic cells observed after mice treatment with paclitaxel decreased over time.

Since tumors from mice treated with cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]PTX 11 had the highest number of mitoses and the major part of them were atypical, it is likely that tumor cells treated with
compound 11 entered mitosis but failed to replicate and incurred mitotic arrest.

## CONCLUSIONS

Since $\alpha_{\mathrm{V}}$ integrins are overexpressed on the surface of cancer cells, we have synthesized a small library of integrin ligandpaclitaxel conjugates $10-13$ with the aim of using the tumorhoming cyclo[DKP-RGD] peptidomimetics for site-directed delivery of the cytotoxic drug. All the paclitaxel-RGD constructs $10-13$ inhibited biotinylated vitronectin binding to the purified $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ receptor at low nanomolar concentration, showing that the enormous increase of steric hindrance in the conjugates, due to presence of the linker bearing paclitaxel through the succinate tether, did not influence the high affinity for the integrin receptors. cyclo[DKP-RGD]-PTX conjugates $10-13$ showed in vitro cytotoxic activity against a panel of human tumor cell lines similar to paclitaxel. Among the cell lines, the cisplatin-resistant IGROV-1/Pt1 cells expressed high levels of integrin $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$, making them attractive to be tested in in vivo models. cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 displayed sufficient stability in physiological solution and in both human and murine plasma to be a good candidate for in vivo testing. In tumor-targeting experiments against the IGROV-1/Pt1 human ovarian carcinoma xenotransplanted in nude mice, compound 11 exhibited better effects than paclitaxel in terms of tumor volume inhibition and $\log _{10}$ (cell kill), despite the lower (about half) molar dosage used. Moreover, 2 out of 8 tumors in animals receiving conjugate $\mathbf{1 1}$ disappeared without any evidence of disease until the end of experiment, suggesting an improved and more persistent antitumor effect. Treatment was well tolerated, as no deaths or significant weight losses were observed among the treated animals. Results from comparison of the in vitro data shown in Table 2 (where conjugate 11 is apparently 2 -fold less cytotoxic than paclitaxel with respect to the IGROV-1/Pt-1 cancer cell line) with the in vivo data of Table 4 and Figure 8 (where conjugate 11 shows a superior antitumor effect compared to paclitaxel against the IGROV-1/ Ptl human ovarian carcinoma xenotransplanted in nude mice) are not contradictory but rather reinforce the tumor homing effect claimed for compound 11. In fact, in vivo the conjugate is targeted to the tumor, whereas in vitro it acts through release of paclitaxel. The histological examination of tumor specimens

Table 4. In Vivo Antitumor Activity and Toxicity Profile of cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 and Paclitaxel against Human Ovarian Cancer Xenografts (IGROV-1/Pt1) in Mice, as a Function of Dose

| treatment | dose $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | dose $(\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg})$ | $\mathrm{TVI}^{a}$ | $\mathrm{CR}^{b}$ | NED $^{c}$ | $\mathrm{LCK}^{d}$ | $\mathrm{BWL}^{e}$ | $D / \mathrm{T}^{f}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| paclitaxel | 30 | 35.1 | 76 | $3 / 8$ | $0 / 8$ | 0.7 | 4 | $0 / 4$ |
| cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 | 15 | 9.6 | 64 | $0 / 8$ |  | 0.3 | 0 | $0 / 4$ |
| cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 | 30 | 19.1 | 85 | $2 / 8$ | $2 / 8$ | 1.4 | 3 | $0 / 4$ |

${ }^{a}$ TVI\%: tumor volume inhibition percent in treated over control mice, calculated 10 days after the end of treatments. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{CR}$ : complete response, disappearance of tumors lasting at least 10 days. ${ }^{c}$ NED: no evidence of disease at the end of experiment (at day 66 ). ${ }^{d}$ LCK: gross $\log _{10}$ (cell kill) to reach $600 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ of tumor volume (see Figure 8). ${ }^{e}$ BWL\%: body weight loss percentage induced by drug treatment. ${ }^{f} D / T$ : dead/treated mice.


Figure 9. Histopathological analysis of IGROV-1/Pt1 xenograft after treatment with cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11. (A) Quantitative analysis of mitoses. Mitoses were evaluated in three randomly selected $400 \times$ fields using quadruplicate samples. The reported numbers correspond to the mean number of normal/aberrant mitoses in analyzed groups: control groups (control); groups treated with compound 64 (CPD64); group treated with compound 11 (CPD11); groups treated with paclitaxel (PTX). Note that tumors were obtained from mice sacrificed 24 h after the second or the fourth treatment. (B) Randomly selected high power field (hpf) within the bulk of the tumor from a control group sample, characterized by normal mitoses (hematoxyilin and eosin; bar, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). (C) Randomly selected hpf within the bulk of the tumor from a sample treated with compound 64. Hyperchromatic nuclei with condensed chromatin are evident (hematoxylin and eosin; bar, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). (D) Randomly selected hpf within the bulk of the tumor from a sample treated with compound 11. Note markedly aberrant mitoses, with formation of nuclear envelopes around individual clusters of missegregated chromosomes (mitotic catastrophe) (hematoxylin and eosin; bar, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). ( E ) Randomly selected hpf within the bulk of the tumor from a sample treated with paclitaxel. Note markedly aberrant mitoses, with formation of nuclear envelopes around individual clusters of missegregated chromosomes (mitotic catastrophe) (hematoxylin and eosin; bar, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ).
supports this view because the induction of aberrant mitosis observed after treatment with conjugate $\mathbf{1 1}$ was more frequent, pronounced, and persistent than that observed with paclitaxel (see Figure 9A, right diagram), consistent with a successful drug delivery to the target. The superior in vivo activity of cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 compared to paclitaxel supports
the view that integrin ligands are promising tools to improve delivery of cytotoxic drugs.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Methods. All manipulations requiring anhydrous conditions were carried out in flame-dried glassware, with magnetic
stirring and under a nitrogen atmosphere. All commercially available reagents were used as received. Anhydrous solvents were purchased from commercial sources and withdrawn from the container by syringe under a slight positive pressure of nitrogen. (S)- and (R)-Serine methyl ester hydrochloride, ${ }^{38}(2 R)$ - and $(2 S)$-aspartic acid $\beta$-allyl ester hydrochloride, ${ }^{39} \quad N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl) $-(2 R)$-aspartic acid $\beta$-allyl ester, ${ }^{39}(S)$ - and (R)-N-Boc-serine methyl ester, ${ }^{40}(S)$ - and (R)methyl 3-azido-2-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)propanoate, ${ }^{41}(S)$ - and (R)-3-azido-2-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)propanoic acid, ${ }^{41}(S)$ - and $(R)$-dimethyl aspartate hydrochloride, ${ }^{42}(S)$ - and $(R)$ - $N$-benzyldimethyl aspartate, ${ }^{43}$ and $N$-Boc-glycine benzyl ester ${ }^{44}$ were prepared according to literature procedures, and their analytical data were in agreement with those already published. Reactions were monitored by analytical thin layer chromatography using 0.25 mm precoated silica gel glass plates (DURASIL-25 UV254) and compounds visualized using UV fluorescence, aqueous potassium permanganate, or ninhydrin. Flash column chromatography was performed according to the method of Still and co-workers ${ }^{45}$ using Chromagel 60 ACC (40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) silica gel. Melting points were obtained in an open capillary apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer operating at 400.16 MHz . Proton chemical shifts are reported in $\mathrm{ppm}(\delta)$ with the solvent reference relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) employed as the internal standard. The following abbreviations are used to describe spin multiplicity: $s=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad signal, dd = doublet of doublet. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer operating at 100.63 MHz , with complete proton decoupling. Carbon chemical shifts are reported in ppm $(\delta)$ relative to TMS with the respective solvent resonance as the internal standard. Infrared spectra were recorded on a standard FT-IR, and peaks are reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Optical rotation values were measured on an automatic polarimeter with a 1 dm cell at the sodium D line and are given in units of $10^{-1}$ deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) were performed on a Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) mass spectrometer APEX II with Xmass software (Bruker Daltonics) and 4.7 T magnet (Magnex) equipped with ESI source, available at CIGA (Centro Interdipartimentale Grandi Apparecchiature) c/o Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy. Low resolution mass spectra were measured on a Waters Acquity UPLCMS (ESI ion source). All described compounds showed a purity of $>98 \%$, as determined by HPLC (UV and MS detectors). LC-UV/MS data were collected with an Agilent 1100 HPLC instrument connected to a Bruker Esquire 3000+ ion trap mass spectrometer through an ES interface.

Cancer cell lines IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/Pt1 were obtained as previously reported. ${ }^{46}$ Cancer cell lines U2OS, SKOV3, PANC-1, and MIA Paca2 are ATCC cultures, registered as follows: U2OS, ATCC HTB-96; SKOV3, ATCC HTB-77; PANC-1, ATCC CRL-1469; MIA PaCa2, ATCC CRL-1420.

Solid-Phase Receptor-Binding Assay. Purified $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{v}} \beta_{5}$ receptors (Chemicon International, Inc., Temecula, CA, U.S.) were diluted to $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in coating buffer containing 20 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 7.4 ) , $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2$, and 1 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$. An aliquot of diluted receptors ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well) was added to 96-well microtiter plates (NUNC MW 96F MEDISORP STRAIGHT) and incubated overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plates were then incubated with blocking solution (coating buffer plus $1 \%$ bovine serum albumin) for an additional 2 h at room temperature to block nonspecific binding followed by 3 h of incubation at room temperature with various concentrations $\left(10^{-12}-10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ of test compounds in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ biotinylated vitronectine. Biotinylation was performed using EZ-Link sulfo-NHS-biotinylation kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL). After being washed, the plates were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with streptavidin-biotinylated peroxidase complex (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) followed by 30 min of incubation with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of substrate reagent solution (R\&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN ) before the reaction was stopped by addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Absorbance at 415 nm was read in a Synergy HT multidetection microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc.). Each data point is the result of the average of triplicate wells and was
analyzed by nonlinear regression analysis with the Prism GraphPad program.

General Procedure A for Deprotection Reactions. To a solution of the $N$-Boc-protected amino acid or peptide in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 0.13 M ) was added half volume of TFA, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The solvent was evaporated, toluene $(2 \times)$ was added followed by evaporation, and then ether was added and evaporated to afford the corresponding TFA salt.

General Procedure B for Coupling Reactions. To a solution of the $N$-protected amino acid in DMF under nitrogen atmosphere and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added HATU ( 1.2 equiv), HOAt ( 1.2 equiv), and $i$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ (4 equiv). After 30 min , a solution of the TFA salt of the peptide in DMF was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at room temperature overnight. The mixture was diluted with AcOEt and consecutively washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(2 \times)$, aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times)$, and brine $(2 \times)$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product.

General Procedure C for the Synthesis of cyclo[DKP-RGD]Paclitaxel Conjugates 10-13. Diisopropylcarbodiimide (11.93 $\mu \mathrm{L}$, $9.72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.9$ equiv) was added to a solution of $2^{\prime}$ succinylpaclitaxel 67 ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0513 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv) and $N$ hydroxysulfosuccinimide sodium salt ( $13.94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0642 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.55$ equiv) in dry dimethylformamide ( 2.0 mL ). The resulting solution was stirred under argon at room temperature for 24 h . Volatiles were then removed in vacuo to give an off-white solid, which was redissolved in acetonitrile $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. A solution of the appropriate cyclo[DKP-RGD] (63-66) ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0414 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pH 7.0 phosphate buffer $(0.5 \mathrm{M}$, 1.0 mL ) was then added to the acetonitrile solution, and the pH was adjusted to 7.3 with $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.2 \mathrm{M}$, a few drops). The resulting solution was rapidly cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 10 h , warmed to room temperature, and stirred for further 18 h . During the entire period the pH was kept near 7.3 , adding 0.1 M aqueous NaOH when required. Dioxane/water $(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added to the reaction mixture, and the resulting solution was freeze-dried. The solid recovered from freeze-drying was purified by semipreparative HPLC [Water's Atlantis $21 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ column, gradient of $90 \%\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\right.$ $0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}) / 10 \%\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}\right)$ to $30 \%\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\right.$ $\left.0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}) / 70 \%\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}\right)\right]$. The purified products were then freeze-dried to give the desired compounds (1013) as white solids.
cyclo[DKP-f2-RGD]-PTX 10. Compound 10 was synthesized according to general procedure $\mathrm{C}\left(40 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.09(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.59-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{tt}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77(\mathrm{~m}$ overlapped with water signal, 1 H ), 4.65 ( m overlapped with water signal, 1 H$), 4.40-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=15.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(\mathrm{~m}$ overlapped with solvent signal, 1 H$), 3.22(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-$ $2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ 205.7, 175.8, 175.0, 174.5, 174.17, 174.02, 173.7, 172.09, 171.96, 171.1, 170.74, 170.54, $169.8,168.0,158.3,142.3,139.6,137.9,135.5,135.04,134.95,134.7$, $133.2,131.1,130.8,130.2,129.94,129.82,129.71,129.3,129.0$, 128.64, 128.46, 85.9, 82.0, 79.1, 77.5, 76.7, 76.14, 76.06, 72.9, 72.1, 60.3, 59.1, 55.8, 55.4, 53.2, 51.3, 48.1, 47.8, 44.3, 43.7, 43.0, 40.7, 39.9, 37.32, 37.21, 36.0, 31.0, 29.9, 28.2, 26.8, 26.2, 23.4, 22.3, 20.9, 15.0, 10.6; IR (film) 3361, 3075, 2940, 1730, 1715, 1698, 1667, 1538, 1422, 1243, 1135, $1072 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{78} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]^{+}$, $1566.63[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found, 1566.6.
cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11. Compound 11 was synthesized according to general procedure $\mathrm{C}\left(47 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.12(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56-7.52$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.03(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.91-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.16(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0,8.7,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09-$ $4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74-$ $3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.54(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7,2.8$ Hz ), 3.42 (dd, 1H, $J=14.6,6.4), 3.27-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.75(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.72-2.51 (m, 7H), $2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.01$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.14$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD)} \delta 205.5,174.0$, 173.60, 173.46, 173.0, 171.63, 171.54, 171.46, 171.2, 170.5, 167.7, $142.4,140.2,138.4,135.5,134.80,134.63,132.9,131.39,131.22$, 130.1, 129.72, 129.60, 129.56, 129.3, 128.6, 100.0, 85.9, 82.3, 79.0, $77.5,76.9,76.2,75.9,72.9,72.4,61.6,60.6,59.2,55.4,54.4,53.2,50.5$, 48.0, 44.6, 43.76, 43.69, 42.2, 39.9, 37.6, 36.49, 36.36, 31.1, 29.8, 27.7, 26.9, 26.5, 25.1, 23.3, 22.3, 20.8, 15.1, 10.5; IR (film) 3360, 3075, 2940, $1729,1714,1693,1665,1537,1421,1241,1135,1071 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{78} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]^{+}, 1566.63[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found, 1566.6.
cyclo[DKP-f4-RGD]-PTX 12. Compound 12 was synthesized according to general procedure $\mathrm{C}\left(42 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44-8.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.98 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.86(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76-$ $7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.30$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.54(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.43-3.26 (m overlapped with water signal, 2 H ), 3.07 (br s, 2H), $2.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.38-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.76$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 202.3,173.9,172.13$, $171.94,170.8,170.2,169.63,169.50,169.1,168.84,168.74,168.3$, $167.9,166.4,165.2,157.3,139.4,138.7,137.3,134.8,134.3,133.45$, 133.33, 132.7, 131.4, 129.95, 129.93, 129.56, 129.54, 128.73, 128.65, $128.55,128.29,128.14,127.83,127.71,127.65,127.4,83.6,80.2,76.7$, 75.3, 74.68, 74.54, 74.50, 72.5, 70.7, 70.4, 57.4, 56.1, 53.98, 53.86, 52.17, 52.13, 46.1, 44.9, 42.9, 42.1, 41.9, 40.1, 39.9, 38.2, 36.5, 35.7, $34.4,29.5,28.7,27.6,26.3,25.3,22.5,21.4,20.63,20.52,13.9,9.7$; IR (film) 3370, 3071, 2941, 1731, 1714, 1699, 1667, 1538, 1421, 1243, 1135, $1071 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{78} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]^{+}, 1566.63$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found, 1566.6.
cyclo[DKP-f6-RGD]-PTX 13. Compound 13 was synthesized according to general procedure $\mathrm{C}\left(43 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.74$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99-7.97$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.53(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98-4.90(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17-4.08 (m, 2H), 4.04-3.95 (m, 3H), 3.93-3.79 (m, 3H), 3.74$3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.53-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-$ $2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 202.7,172.0$, $171.8,170.3,169.72,169.57,169.2,168.79,168.72,166.8,166.4$, 165.2, 157.3, 139.5, 137.4, 135.2, 134.3, 133.5, 133.32, 133.28, 132.7, 131.5, 129.9, 129.6, 128.7, 128.38, 128.21, 128.0, 127.7, 127.5, 83.6, 80.3, 76.7, 75.3, 74.69, 74.62, 74.49, 70.7, 70.4, 57.2, 54.4, 54.1, 51.98, 51.92, 46.10, 45.97, 43.0, 41.9, 40.9, 39.7, 37.8, 36.6, 34.4, 29.5, 28.7, 28.0, 26.4, 24.4, 22.6, 21.4, 20.7, 14.0, 9.8; IR (film) 3365, 3071, 2940, 1732, 1716, 1699, 1665, 1537, 1421, 1243, 1135, $1071 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{78} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]^{+}, 1566.63[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found, 1566.6.

Plasma Stability Assays. A 10 mM stock solution of cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 (MW = 1566.62) was obtained by dissolving 2 mg of compound in $127.66 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO. A further dilution 1:50 in pH 7.5 phosphate buffer (PBS) was performed ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of stock solution into $490 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PBS) to obtain a $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution. From this last solution, an amount of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was spiked into $475 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of plasma (murine or human) to obtain the final concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Standards (lidocaine and 2-piperidinoethyl-4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoate) were tested at $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration starting from a $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution in DMSO, further diluted 1:10 into PBS and 1:20 into plasma.

Aliquots of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volume were taken at $0,15,30,60,120,180$, and 300 min of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and immediately quenched with 200 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of a solution of verapamil, $250 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ (internal standard) in acetonitrile. Samples were centrifuged for 20 min at 3000 rpm and supernatants analyzed by UPLC (Waters) interfaced with a Premiere XE triple quadrupole (Waters). Eluents were the following: phase A , $95 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$; phase B, $5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 95 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$. Waters UPLC parameters were the following: flow of $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, column BEH C18, $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, vol injection of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Samples were analyzed in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) conditions: ESI positive, desolvation temperature $450{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, desolvation gas $900 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$, cone gas $90 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$, collision gas $0.2 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$. Results are presented as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$, $n=2$ for standards, $n=3$ for cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11.

Cell Sensitivity Studies. The human ovarian carcinoma IGROV-1 cell line, ${ }^{46}$ the cisplatin-resistant IGROV-1/Pt1 subline, ${ }^{46}$ the human ovarian carcinoma cell line SKOV3, and the human pancreatic carcinoma cell lines PANC-1 and MIA-PaCa2 were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) medium. The human osteosarcoma U2-OS cell line was grown in McCoy's 5A medium. HDFC cells were cultured in DMEM-F12 medium. In all cases, the medium was supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum. The cell sensitivity to drugs was measured by using the growth inhibition assay based on cell counting. Cells were seeded in duplicate into six-well plates and exposed to drug 24 h later. Paclitaxel and the studied compounds were dissolved in DMSO and then added to culture medium. DMSO concentration in medium never exceeded $0.25 \%$. After 72 h of drug incubation, cells were harvested for counting with a cell counter ( Z 1 Beckman Coulter counter). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is defined as the drug concentration producing $50 \%$ decrease of cell growth. At least five independent experiments were performed.

Analysis of Integrin Levels. The expression of integrins was measured by flow cytometry, following optimization of antibody concentration. Exponentially growing cells were harvested and incubated for 30 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with anti-human $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ or $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ antibodies or isotypic controls (Millipore, Temecula, CA; Chemicon International). Cells were than washed, and samples were immediately used for flow cytometric analysis (FACScan, Becton-Dickinson). Expression of integrins was expressed as the ratio between the mean fluorescence intensity obtained in cells incubated with anti-integrin antibodies divided by that of cells incubated with isotypic control.

In Vivo Antitumor Activity Studies. All experiments were carried out using female athymic Swiss nude mice, 8-10 weeks old (Charles River, Calco, Italy). Mice were maintained in laminar flow rooms, keeping temperature and humidity constant. Mice had free access to food and water. Experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Experimentation of the Istituto Nazionale Tumori of Milan, Italy, according to institutional guidelines. The IGROV-1/Pt1 human tumor xenograft, derived from cultures of the corresponding ovarian carcinoma cell line, ${ }^{46}$ was used. Exponentially growing cells ( $10^{7} /$ mouse) were sc injected into the right flank of athymic nude mice, and the tumor line was achieved by serial sc passages of fragments of regrowing tumors into healthy mice. Groups of four mice bearing bilateral sc tumors were employed. Tumor fragments were implanted on day 0 , and tumor growth was followed by biweekly measurements of tumor diameters with a Vernier caliper. Tumor volume (TV) was calculated according to the formula TV $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)=d^{2} D / 2$, where $d$ and $D$ are the shortest and the longest diameter, respectively. Compounds were delivered iv and administered
every 4 days for 4 times ( $q 4 d \times 4$ ). Treatment started 3 days after tumor implant, when tumors were just palpable. The efficacy of the drug treatment was assessed as (1) tumor volume inhibition percentage (TVI\%) in treated versus control mice, calculated as TVI $\%=[100-($ mean TV treated $/$ mean TV control) $] \times 100$ ), (2) $\log _{10}($ cell kill $)(\mathrm{LCK})$ calculated by the formula $\mathrm{LCK}=(T-C) /$ (3.32 DT) where $T$ and $C$ are the mean times (days) required for treated and control tumors, respectively, to reach an established TV, and DT is the doubling time of control tumors, obtained from semilog best-fit curves of mean tumor volumes plotted against time, and (3) complete regression (CR), i.e., disappearance of the tumor lasting at least 10 days after the end of treatments. Tumors not regrown at the end of experiment were considered as no evidence of disease (NED). The toxicity of the drug treatment was determined as body weight loss (BWL) and lethal toxicity ( $D / T$, dead/treated mice). The highest body weight loss percentage induced by treatments is reported in the tables. Deaths occurring in treated mice before the death of the first control mouse were ascribed to toxic effects. Two-sided Student's $t$ test was used for statistical comparison of tumor volumes in control over treated mice. For in vivo studies, paclitaxel was dissolved in a mixture of ethanol and Cremophor ELP $(50 \%+50 \%)$ and kept at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At treatment the drug was diluted in $90 \%$ of cold saline after magnetic stirring and administered iv. cyclo[DKP-f3-RGD]-PTX 11 was dissolved and administered like paclitaxel at room temperature.

Immunohistochemistry. Tumor xenografts and adjacent tissues were excised and formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded. Sections of 4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ from each tumor xenograft were routinely stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) and evaluated under a light microscope. Mitoses were evaluated in three randomly selected $400 \times$ fields within the bulk of the xenograft, avoiding areas of necrosis and hemorrhage. The total number of mitoses and the mean value for each sample were evaluated. Furthermore, mitoses were classified as "normal" and "aberrant", considering in this latter class both small condensed hyperchromatic nuclei and large cells composed by nuclear envelope around individual clusters of missegregated chromosomes (mitotic catastrophe), and the ratio between these two classes was evaluated. The analysis of mitoses was performed in a blind fashion. Statistical analysis of the obtained data was carried out with Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc.).
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## ABBREVIATIONS USED

DKP, diketopiperazine; Mtr, 4-methoxy-2,3,6-trimethylbenzenesulfonamide; HATU, $O$-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)- $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate; Tol, toluene; TEA, triethylamine; HOAT, 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole; DIAD, diisopropyl azodicarboxylate; BOC-ON, 2-(Boc-oxyimino)-2phenylacetonitrile; DCU, $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylurea; PyBrOP , bromotripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate; DPPA, diphenylphosphorylazide; EDT, 1,2-ethanedithiol; TIPS, triisopropylsilane; DIC, $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide; sulfo-NHS, $N$-hydroxysulfosuccinimide sodium salt; PTX, paclitaxel; RP-HPLC, reverse phase high-pressure liquid chromatography; HDFC, human dermal fibroblast cell; TVI, tumor volume inhibition; CR, complete response; NED, no evidence of disease; LCK, $\log _{10}($ cell kill $)$; BWL, body weight loss; $D / T$, dead/treated mice; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium; TV, tumor volume
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